

SPbU-IP-94

Comment on gauge choices and physical variables in QED

Dmitri Vassilevich

Department of Theoretical Physics, St.Petersburg University,
198904 St.Petersburg, Russia

Abstract

We consider possible definitions of physical variables in QED. We demonstrate that the condition $\partial_i A_i = 0$ is the most convenient one because it leads to path integral over physical components with local action. However, other choices, as $A_3 = 0$, are also possible. The standard expression for configuration space path integral in $A_3 = 0$ gauge is obtained starting with reduced phase space formulation. Contrary to the claims of the paper [1] the $A_3 = 0$ gauge is not overconstrained.

PACS: 11.15.-q, 12.20.-m

In their recent paper [1] Lavelle and McMullan claimed that the gauge $A_3 = 0$ is overconstrained. The way of reasoning was as follows. The component A_0 is the Lagrange multiplier and hence non-dynamical. The physical components of the three-vector A_i are transversal. When one also imposes the condition $A_3 = 0$, one can not obtain desired two helicity components of the photon for three-momenta orthogonal to x_3 . Hence the gauge $A_3 = 0$ is overconstrained.

The above statement is obviously a result of misuse of terminology. The notion of the Lagrange multiplier refers to the Hamiltonian formulation of a theory, while gauge conditions are imposed on a configuration space. The so-called "physical components" are simply the canonical coordinates on the reduced phase space. In QED the physical components are fixed up to gauge transformations. It is senseless to impose all the restrictions appearing in various formulations simultaneously. In principle, these arguments together with a reference to standard textbook on gauge theories [2] (for non-covariant gauges see e.g. [3]) are enough to restore reputation of the $A_3 = 0$ gauge. However, we shall do a bit more.

In this short letter we consider possible choices of physical variables in QED. We demonstrate, that the condition $\partial_i A_i = 0$ is the most convenient one because it leads to local action in the path integral over physical variables.

We show that it is also possible to consider the components A_1 and A_2 as physical ones. This latter choice leads to the standard configuration space path integral in the axial gauge $A_3 = 0$. To the best of our knowledge this construction was not considered in the literature.

The work is organized as follows. First we consider the reduced phase space formulation of QED and comment on transition to interactive theory. Next we derive the path integral in the $A_3 = 0$ gauge.

Consider the action for electrodynamics

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (1)$$

We can rewrite it in the first-order form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x F^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}) = \\ &= \int d^4x [E_k \partial_0 A_k - \frac{1}{2} (E^2 + B^2) + A_0 \Phi] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where A_k are canonical variables and $E_k = F_{0k}$ are the conjugate momenta, $B_k = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{kij} F^{ij}$. The Lagrange multiplier A_0 generates the constraint

$$\Phi = \partial_k E_k = 0 \quad (3)$$

which selects transversal components E_k^T of E_k . The first class constraint Φ in turn generates gauge transformations. To obtain the reduced phase space one should also impose a condition on canonical coordinates A_k

$$\chi(A) = 0 \quad (4)$$

The only restriction on χ is that [2,4]

$$\det\{\Phi, \chi\} \neq 0 \quad (5)$$

where $\{, \}$ are the canonical Poisson brackets. The eq. (5) means that the condition (4) removes all the gauge freedom related to gradient transformations of A_k . We will consider only linear conditions (4). The solutions A^{ph} of eq. (4) are called physical components. One can express the path integral in terms of A^{ph} only without contribution from ghosts. According to the general prescription [2,4,5] the evolution operator is

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}E^T \mathcal{D}A^{ph} \exp iS(E^T, A^{ph}) \quad (6)$$

The path integral over E^T is Gaussian. After integration over E^T one obtains

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A^{ph} \exp(\frac{i}{2} \int d^4x ((\partial_0 P^T A_k^{ph})^2 - B_k (A^{ph})^2) \quad (7)$$

where the P^T is the transversal projector,

$$P^T = \delta_i^k - {}^{(3)}\Delta^{-1} \partial_i \partial^k, \quad (8)$$

${}^{(3)}\Delta$ is the three-Laplacian. We see, that the expression in the exponential of (7) is local if and only if χ is the transversality condition

$$\chi(A) = \chi^T(A) = \partial_i A_i \quad (9)$$

The choice (9) is obviously the most convenient one, but it is not unique.

Transition to interactive theory is made by introducing the term $A_\mu J^\mu$ in the action (1). The constraint (3) is replaced by

$$\Phi + J_0 = 0 \quad (10)$$

If χ depends only on A , the Poisson bracket (5) do not change because J_0 do not depend on canonical momenta E^k

$$\{\chi(A), \Phi + J_0\} = \{\chi, \Phi\} \quad (11)$$

and all our arguments are still valid.

One can extend the integration region in (6)

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}E \mathcal{D}A_0 \mathcal{D}A_i \delta(\chi) \det\{\Phi, \chi\} \exp iS(E, A_i, A_0), \quad (12)$$

where S is the first order action (2). By integrating over E we can arrive at gauge fixed path integral

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \delta(\chi) \det\{\Phi, \chi\} \exp iS(A_\mu) \quad (13)$$

with the action (1).

As a condition χ one can choose $\chi_3(A) = A_3 = 0$ and $\tilde{\chi} = \partial_i A_i(x_3 = 0) = 0$. The determinant $\det\{(\chi_3, \tilde{\chi}), \Phi\} \neq 0$ because the condition χ_3 fixes all the gauge freedom except for x_3 -independent gauge transformations, and the

remaining gauge freedom is removed by the condition $\tilde{\chi}=0$ imposed on a hypersurface $x_3=\text{const}$. The necessity of the condition $\tilde{\chi}$ is well known. We see that the components A_1 and A_2 themselves can play the role of physical variables. Hence the gauge $A_3=0$ is not overconstrained. Though the action in (7) is non-local, the configuration space path integral (13) has the standard form with local action

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \delta(A_3) \delta(\tilde{\chi}) \det\{(\chi_3, \tilde{\chi}), \Phi\} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}\right) \quad (14)$$

For most of the physical applications the Jacobian factor $\det\{(\chi_3, \tilde{\chi}), \Phi\}$ can be dropped out as an irrelevant constant. However, for non-trivial topology and/or geometry of space-time it should be retained. In this latter case the path integral measure can have rather non-trivial structure [6].

In this letter we demonstrated that the choice of physical variables $\partial_i A_i = 0$ is not unique but most convenient. The components A_1 and A_2 (subject to the constraint $\tilde{\chi}=0$ on a $x_3=\text{const}$ plane) can also be chosen as physical variables. This leads to the standard path integral in the $A_3=0$ gauge. The result of the paper [1] that the $A_3=0$ gauge is overconstrained is incorrect.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Studies, grant # 93-02-14378.

References

1. M.Lavelle and D.McMullan, Phys. Lett. B316 (1993) 172.
2. L.D.Faddeev and A.A.Slavnov, Gauge fields: Introduction to quantum theory, Benjamin/Cummings, 1980.
3. P.Gaigg, W.Kummer and M.Schweda, eds., Physical and Nonstandard gauges, Springer, 1990.
4. L.D.Faddeev, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 1 (1969) 3.
5. L.D.Faddeev and V.N.Popov, Phys. Lett. 25B (1967) 29.
6. D.V.Vassilevich, Nuovo Cimento 105A (1992) 649;
I.P.Grignatch and D.V.Vassilevich, Nuovo Cimento 107A (1994) 227.